



Wyoming CAMA Users Group Statewide Parcel Layer

Select a View

- Overview
- Graphical Summary
- All Responses

Actions

- Alert me
- Modify survey and questions

 [Respond to this Survey](#) |  [Export Results to a spreadsheet](#)

Survey Name: Statewide Parcel Layer

Survey Description: The DOR would like to explore the possibility of creating a statewide parcel layer that would be updated once a year and would be available for distribution to other state agencies upon request. The parcel layer itself would contain a basic set of non-confidential information and would be made available primarily for research and reference purposes.

Time Created: 9/21/2007 3:39 PM

Number of Responses: 23

- [Show a graphical summary of responses](#)
- [Show all responses](#)



Wyoming CAMA Users Group

Statewide Parcel Layer

Select a View

[Overview](#)
[Graphical Summary](#)
[All Responses](#)

Actions

 [Alert me](#)
 [Modify survey and questions](#)
[Respond to this Survey](#) | [Export Results to a spreadsheet](#)

1. Would your county be interested in participating in this type of program?

Yes

23 (100%)

No

0 (0%)

Total: 23

2. The parcel data would be made available to State agencies with the express condition that it would not be distributed without the direct permission of the County Assessor.

This would be acceptable

17 (74%)

This is unacceptable

1 (4%)

Open Distribution is Acceptable

5 (22%)

Total: 23

3. The data contained with the parcel information would include the parcel number, name, address and year produced. Is there any other additional data you would consider to be included?

I am a bit leary in agreeing to this. Seems like it might be a good idea for like the forestry service etc. I can't imagine that all counties would be able to provide. Just one more project that gets done half way.
Don't think my county attorney will agree to the parcel number - rights to privacy issue in the past.

1 (10%)

The layer should be served in June after abstracts are complete. I am also interested creating a statewide coverage that is available to the public similar to Montana. The Wyoming GIS Oversight committee is exploring funding options for such a system.

1 (10%)

Legal description

1 (10%)

I would prefer this to contain more pertinent information to be of more practical use. I could foresee this to be a substitute to each county having to provide a GIS layer for private consumption at the local level. However, I would not offer 100% (all) layers of our County GIS.

1 (10%)

I think that any data that is public that could be useful should be included.

1 (10%)

As with the data on our website, I may have problems with some property owners not wanting their information available for all to see. Legal descriptions.

1 (10%)

I don't think we should give owner name. It changes regularly and will lead to too many people contacting owners.

1 (10%)

Parcel layer only, not to include taxpayers addresses as they can call here for that. For state use ONLY, not to be sold or put on some public user website. Our taxpayers do not want their information (public or otherwise) put on even our own website. We are not ready yet and I really don't have a date in mind when we would be ready, probably sometime after August.

1 (10%)

The data should be tied to a specific date as ownership is constantly changing.

1 (10%)

No

1 (10%)

Total: 10

4. In this process, even a partial parcel layer would be beneficial. How complete would you consider your parcel information?

100%

9 (39%)

90%

3 (13%)

80%

4 (17%)

70%

3 (13%)

60%

3 (13%)

50%

0 (0%)

40%

0 (0%)

30%

1 (4%)

20%

0 (0%)

Less than 10%

0 (0%)

Total: 23

ParcelSurvey

Full Name	Would your county be interested in participating in this type of program?	The parcel data would be made available to State agencies with the express condition that it would not be distributed without the direct permission of the County Assessor.	The data contained with the parcel information would include the parcel number, name, address and year produced. Is there any other additional data you would consider to be included?	In this process, even a partial parcel layer would be beneficial. How complete would you consider your parcel information?
Kathy Treanor	Yes	This would be acceptable		80%
Debbi Surratt	Yes	This is unacceptable	I am a bit leary in agreeing to this. Seems like it might be a good idea for like the forestry service etc. I can't imagine that all counties would be able to provide. Just one more project that gets done half way. Don't think my county attorney will agree to the parcel number - rights to privacy issue in the past.	60%
Brenda Arnold	Yes	Open Distribution is Acceptable	The layer should be served in June after abstracts are complete. I am also interested creating a statewide coverage that is available to the public similar to Montana. The Wyoming GIS Oversight committee is exploring funding options for such a system.	100%
Elaine Griffith	Yes	This would be acceptable	Legal description	80%
Paul Fall	Yes	Open Distribution is Acceptable		100%
Doug Brandt	Yes	Open Distribution is Acceptable	I would prefer this to contain more pertinent information to be of more practical use. I could foresee this to be a substitute to each county having to provide a GIS layer for private consumption at the local level. However, I would not offer 100% (all) layers of our County GIS.	70%
Dixie Huxtable	Yes	This would be acceptable		90%
Grant Showacre	Yes	This would be acceptable	I think that any data that is public that could be useful should be included.	30%
Tina Conklin	Yes	This would be acceptable		90%
Susan Dewitt	Yes	Open Distribution is Acceptable	As with the data on our website, I may have problems with some property owners not wanting their information available for all to see. Legal descriptions.	80%
Eileen Oakley	Yes	This would be acceptable	I don't think we should give owner name. It changes regularly and will lead to too many people contacting owners.	100%
Shelley Deromedi	Yes	This would be acceptable		100%
Sheryl Snider	Yes	This would be acceptable		60%
Dee Griffis	Yes	This would be acceptable	Parcel layer only, not to include taxpayers addresses as they can call here for that. For state use ONLY, not to be sold or put on some public user website. Our taxpayers do not want their information (public or otherwise) put on even our own website. We are not ready yet and I really don't have a date in mind when we would be ready, probably sometime after August.	60%
Janet Montgomery	Yes	This would be acceptable	The data should be tied to a specific date as ownership is constantly changing.	100%
Debbie Larson	Yes	This would be acceptable		100%
Dave Rauzi	Yes	Open Distribution is Acceptable		80%
Troy Clements	Yes	This would be acceptable		90%
Cathy Toolson	Yes	This would be acceptable		100%
Lori Perkins	Yes	This would be acceptable		100%
Gina Anderson	Yes	This would be acceptable		100%
Al Wright	Yes	This would be acceptable	No	70%
Dorothy Elsom	Yes	This would be acceptable		70%