



> Integrated GIS project in RealWare version 5

Integrated GIS project in RealWare version 5

The Department is re-evaluating the need for an integrated GIS project in RealWare version 5.

[Respond to this Survey](#) | [Actions](#) ▼ | [Settings](#) ▼ | View: **Overview**

Survey Name:	Integrated GIS project in RealWare version 5
Survey Description:	The Department is re-evaluating the need for an integrated GIS project in RealWare version 5.
Time Created:	4/2/2013 3:55 PM
Number of Responses:	23

- Show a graphical summary of responses
- Show all responses



> Integrated GIS project in RealWare version 5

Integrated GIS project in RealWare version 5

The Department is re-evaluating the need for an integrated GIS project in RealWare version 5.

Respond to this Survey

Actions ▾

Settings ▾

View: **Graphical Summary**

1. Is your county relying on the State to provide a GIS product for Realware version 5? If so, which product would you prefer to use?

Yes, we would prefer Matix

3 (13%)



Yes, but we would rather use Geoware

4 (17%)



No, we use our own GIS product

16 (70%)



Total: 23

2. If the State cannot provide a GIS solution for CAMA, does your county have an alternate GIS product to use?

Yes

20 (87%)



No, my County would need to find an alternate product.

3 (13%)



Total: 23

3. If the State cannot provide a GIS solution for CAMA, would your county be able fund your needs?

Yes

18 (78%)



No

5 (22%)



Total: 23

4. What is your opinion regarding the State developing a GIS solution for RealWare version 5?

It's a great idea

7 (30%)



I'd prefer CCI's product

0 (0%)



It's not necessary

16 (70%)



Total: 23



> Integrated GIS project in RealWare version 5

Integrated GIS project in RealWare version 5

The Department is re-evaluating the need for an integrated GIS project in RealWare version 5.

 Respond to this Survey	Actions ▼	Settings ▼	View:	All Responses
View Response	Created By	Modified		Completed
View Response #1	Debbie Larson	4/2/2013 4:24 PM		Yes
View Response #2	Pat Drinkle	4/2/2013 4:32 PM		Yes
View Response #3	Brenda Arnold	4/3/2013 7:55 AM		Yes
View Response #4	Susan DeWitt	4/3/2013 8:45 AM		Yes
View Response #5	Lori Perkins	4/3/2013 9:00 AM		Yes
View Response #6	Troy Clements	4/3/2013 9:43 AM		Yes
View Response #7	Debby Surratt	4/3/2013 10:20 AM		Yes
View Response #8	Dixie Huxtable	4/3/2013 11:57 AM		Yes
View Response #9	Paul Fall	4/3/2013 4:07 PM		Yes
View Response #10	Jeness Saxton	4/5/2013 8:03 AM		Yes
View Response #11	Tina Conklin	4/5/2013 12:32 PM		Yes
View Response #12	Cheryl Ross	4/8/2013 10:23 AM		Yes
View Response #13	Grant Showacre	4/10/2013 9:27 AM		Yes
View Response #14	Lisa Fletcher	4/10/2013 9:54 AM		Yes
View Response #15	Gina Anderson	4/10/2013 10:21 AM		Yes
View Response #16	Dawn Johnson	4/10/2013 10:33 AM		Yes
View Response #17	Al Wright	4/11/2013 8:16 AM		Yes
View Response #18	Shelley Deromedi	4/11/2013 8:27 AM		Yes
View Response #19	Elaine Griffith	4/12/2013 9:28 AM		Yes
View Response #20	Tara Berg	4/16/2013 9:29 AM		Yes
View Response #21	Cindy Rogers	4/16/2013 10:00 AM		Yes
View Response #22	Pat Meyer	4/16/2013 10:24 AM		Yes
View Response #23	Kathy Treanor	4/16/2013 2:51 PM		Yes

Survey Name: Integrated GIS project in RealWare version 5
 Survey Description: The Department is re-evaluating the need for an integrated GIS project in RealWare version 5.
 Time Created: 4/2/2013
 Number of Responses: 23

County	Created By	Is your county relying on the State to provide a GIS product for Realware version 5? If so, which product would you prefer to use?	If the State cannot provide a GIS solution for CAMA, does your county have an alternate GIS product to use?	If the State cannot provide a GIS solution for CAMA, would your county be able fund your needs?	What is your opinion regarding the State developing a GIS solution for RealWare version 5?
Lincoln	Debbie Larson	Yes, but we would rather use Geoware	Yes	Yes	It's not necessary
Sweetwater	Pat Drinkle	No, we use our own GIS product	Yes	Yes	It's not necessary
Laramie	Brenda Arnold	No, we use our own GIS product	Yes	Yes	It's not necessary
Natrona	Susan DeWitt	No, we use our own GIS product	Yes	Yes	It's not necessary
Uinta	Lori Perkins	Yes, but we would rather use Geoware	Yes	No	It's a great idea
Campbell	Troy Clements	No, we use our own GIS product	Yes	Yes	It's not necessary
Goshen	Debby Surratt	Yes, we would prefer Matix	Yes	No	It's a great idea
Converse	Dixie Huxtable	No, we use our own GIS product	Yes	Yes	It's not necessary
Sheridan	Paul Fall	No, we use our own GIS product	Yes	Yes	It's not necessary
Sublette	Jeness Saxton	No, we use our own GIS product	No, my County would need to find an alternate product.	Yes	It's not necessary
Weston	Tina Conklin	No, we use our own GIS product	Yes	Yes	It's not necessary
Carbon	Cheryl Ross	No, we use our own GIS product	Yes	Yes	It's not necessary
Albany	Grant Showacre	Yes, but we would rather use Geoware	Yes	Yes	It's a great idea
Crook	Lisa Fletcher	No, we use our own GIS product	Yes	Yes	It's a great idea
Big Horn	Gina Anderson	No, we use our own GIS product	No, my County would need to find an alternate product.	Yes	It's not necessary
Teton	Dawn Johnson	No, we use our own GIS product	Yes	Yes	It's not necessary
Platte	Al Wright	No, we use our own GIS product	Yes	Yes	It's not necessary
Hot Springs	Shelley Deromedi	No, we use our own GIS product	Yes	Yes	It's not necessary
Niobrara	Elaine Griffith	Yes, but we would rather use Geoware	No, my County would need to find an alternate product.	No	It's a great idea
Fremont	Tara Berg	No, we use our own GIS product	Yes	Yes	It's not necessary
Johnson	Cindy Rogers	Yes, we would prefer Matix	Yes	No	It's a great idea
Park	Pat Meyer	No, we use our own GIS product	Yes	Yes	It's not necessary
Washakie	Kathy Treanor	Yes, we would prefer Matix	Yes	No	It's a great idea